

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,092	04/08/2004	Francisco Juarez	NOVE100041000	8981
22891 7590 04/24/2908 LAW OFFICE OF DELIO & PETERSON, LLC.			EXAMINER	
121 WHITNEY AVENUE			MILLER, MICHAEL G	
3RD FLLOR NEW HAVEN	L CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
14277 1111711	, 01 00010		1792	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/821,092 JUAREZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL G. MILLER 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, RROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the communication
St	atus
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Februery 2008. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. ∷ ∴ … ∴ …
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Di	sposition of Claims
	4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
٩ŗ	pplication Papers
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___ 6) Other:

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 13 FEB 2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- Examiner notes the amendments to the claims as presented. None of the amendments introduce new matter into the case and are therefore accepted.
- Examiner notes the newly added claims in the case as presented. None of the new claims introduce new matter into the case and are therefore accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1792

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- Claims 1-10 and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santiago et al (U.S. Patent 6,716,287, hereinafter '287) in view of Luo et al (U.S. PAPub 2003/0059535, hereinafter '535).
 - a. Claim 1 '287 teaches a reactor comprising a reactor chamber with a first volume, a pedestal to secure a substrate within the chamber using an electrostatic chuck, introducing a first gas into the chamber at this volume and exposing the substrate to this gas to deposit a first layer on the substrate, and lowering the pedestal to facilitate removal of the substrate while removing undeposited first gas from the chamber to end deposition (Column 3 Lines 8-24 and 53-65).
 - b. '287 does not explicitly teach that the first gas is a precursor which reacts with the surface to form the first layer. '287 also does not explicitly teach that enlarging the reaction chamber reduces the concentration of the first gas.
 - c. '535 teaches cyclical ALD deposition of materials wherein a first reactive gas is passed into a chamber to react with a substrate and form a first layer. After the first layer is formed, the gas flow is stopped and unreacted first reactive gas is removed from the chamber via vacuum pump or purge operations (PG 0036). '535 discusses controlling process parameters to control the thickness of the reactively deposited film, including chamber pressure (PG 0031).

Art Unit: 1792

d. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the apparatus of '287 with the technique of '535. Both teachings wish to deposit coatings onto substrates; '535 teaches that controlling chamber pressure can control the thickness of the deposited layers; '287 teaches a means of controlling the chamber pressure by raising and lowering the pedestal (by the ideal gas law, PV = nRT, if the volume V above the substrate increases, the pressure P of the gas above the substrate must inherently decrease for constant nRT, which exists because the gas flow is stopped before expansion. Further, by the ideal gas law, P/RT = n/V, if the volume above the substrate increases, the concentration of the gas n/V above the substrate must inherently decrease for constant P/RT, which exists because the gas flow is stopped before expansion.

- Claim 2 the second precursor is taught in '535 PG 0036.
- Claim 3-4 purging and pumping are taught in '535 PG 0036.
- Claims 5-7 this configuration is taught in '535 PG 0031.
- Claim 8 This is a combination of Claims 1, 2 and 5 and is rejected on those grounds.
- 11. Claims 9-10 this configuration is taught in '535 PG 0031.
- 12. Claims 20-22 '287 Column 3 Lines 37-43 discloses a showerhead with a perforated center section through which the process gases are dispersed into the chamber.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,092

Art Unit: 1792

Claims 23 and 25 – '535 PG 0036 teaches using ammonia to deposit nitrogen.
 Nitrogen is distinct from ammonia.

- Claims 24 and 26 '535 PG 0036 teaches using hexachlorodisilane (HCD) to deposit silicon. Silicon is distinct from HCD.
- 15. Claims 27 and 28 '287 teaches a flow restricting ring which consists of a side wall with lower chamfered corners. The upper corners of the pedestal proximate this side wall are also chamfered to define a gas flow orifice between the side wall and the pedestal. The pedestal does not contact the outer walls of the chamber but occupies a majority of the width of same chamber in cross-section. (Column 4 Lines 21-29, Figures 1 and 2)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL G. MILLER whose telephone number is (571)270-1861. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792